

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES  
WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 24, 2001  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S099647 Construction Protective Services Incorporated,  
2nd Dist. Plaintiff and Appellant  
B142811 v.  
Div. 3 TIG Speciality Insurance Company, Defendant and Respondent  
Petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J.  
Kennard, J.  
Baxter, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.  
Moreno, J.

S100136 Korea Supply Company, Plaintiff and Appellant  
2nd Dist. v.  
B136410 Lockheed Martin Corporation, Defendant and Respondent  
Div. 4 Petition for review GRANTED.  
George, C.J., was recused and did not participate.

Kennard, J.  
Baxter, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.  
Moreno, J.

S100317 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent  
1st Dist. v.  
A090876 Michael Ramirez, Defendant and Appellant  
Div. 1 Petition for review GRANTED.

Further action in this matter is deferred pending consideration and disposition of a related issue in *People v. Johnson*, S097755 (see Cal. Rules of Court, rule 29.2(c)), or pending further order of the court. Submission of additional briefing, pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 29.3, is deferred pending further order of the court.

George, C.J.  
Kennard, J.  
Baxter, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.  
Moreno, J.

S100359 Robert Anthony L., Petitioner  
4th Dist. v.  
G027381 Orange County Superior Court, Respondent  
Div. 3 The People, Real Party in Interest  
Petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J.  
Kennard, J.  
Baxter, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.  
Moreno, J.

S100360 The People, Plaintiff and Respondent  
A090989 v.  
Div. 4 Christopher Francisco Posey, Defendant and Appellant  
S100360 Petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J.  
Kennard, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.  
Moreno, J.

S100557 State of California Department of Rehabilitation et al., Petitioners  
4th Dist. v.  
D035665 Workers' Compensation Appeals Board et al., Respondents  
Div. 1 Petition for review GRANTED.

George, C.J.  
Kennard, J.  
Baxter, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.  
Moreno, J.

S100745 In re Jaquan Jerone W., a Minor  
5th Dist. -----  
F038422 Walter W., Plaintiff and Respondent

v.  
Jacqueline W., Objector and Appellant  
Petition for review GRANTED.

Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

The clerk of this court is hereby directed to appoint counsel to represent mother, Jacqueline W.

George, C.J.  
Kennard, J.  
Werdegar, J.  
Chin, J.  
Brown, J.  
Moreno, J.



S097447	<p>In re Michael Fanelli  on  Habeas Corpus</p> <p>Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.</p>
S100727	<p>In re Jason O. Smith  on  Habeas Corpus</p> <p>Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for writ of habeas corpus is ordered withdrawn.</p>
S100553	<p>Stephen J. Williams, Petitioner  v.  Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Respondent  People, Real Party in Interest</p> <p>Petition for writ of mandate DENIED.</p>
S085213 S085218	<p>In re Arturo D.  -----  The People, Respondent  v.  Randall Ray Hinger, Appellant</p> <p>At the consolidated oral argument to be held in the above-entitled matters on November 8, 2001, the People (respondent) in <i>Arturo D.</i> shall make opening arguments, followed by the opening arguments of the People (respondent) in <i>In re Hinger</i>. Thereafter, appellant Arturo D. shall make his argument, followed by the argument of appellant Hinger. The People may reserve time for rebuttal, which would be presented in the order indicated above.</p>
S017869	<p>People, Respondent  v.  Kristin William Hughes, Appellant</p> <p>The request of appellant for 45 minutes for oral argument is granted.</p>

1st Dist. Gladys S. Brewster, Plaintiff and Appellant  
A081463 v.  
Div. 4 Duane Grummer et al., Defendants and Respondents  
S099881 Petition for review DENIED. Respondents' motion to strike, or  
in the alternative impose sanctions, is denied as moot.

1st Dist. People, Respondent  
A086081 v.  
A095452 Saiyez Ahmed et al., Appellants  
Div. 2 -----  
S099942 In re Sam Henry Vaughn, Jr. on Habeas Corpus  
And Companion Case  
Petitions for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent  
A088097 v.  
Div. 1 Andrew Peter Martinez, Appellant  
S100158 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent  
A089793 v.  
Div. 4 Herman Tiemens Jr., Appellant  
S100698 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent  
A090829 v.  
Div. 5 Johnny Lee Sloan, Jr., Appellant  
S100187 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent  
A090882 v.  
Div. 2 Jamarah Alqatarr Alishlah, Appellant  
S100680 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. People, Respondent  
A090901 v.  
Div. 1 Foster Shane Gaines, Appellant  
S100420 Petition for review DENIED.

1st Dist. A091595 Div. 1 S100363	People, Respondent v. Christopher R. Donnerson, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A091642 Div. 1 S100666	Jesse Katz et al., Appellants v. County of Humboldt et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A092112 Div. 5 S100765	People, Respondent v. Wendell Raymond Conrad, Defendant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A092402 Div. 5 S100700	People, Respondent v. Howard Joseph Warns, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A092957 Div. 3 S100794	Rodolfo Velasquez, Appellant v. Mary Gallagher, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A093736 Div. 5 S100691	In re Raymal M., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law ----- City and County of San Francisco Dept. of Human Services, Plaintiff and Respondent v. Raymond D., Defendant and Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A094030 Div. 1 S099710	In re Larry Lavonta Tait on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B122712 Div. 4 S100551	Citizens Transportation Company Incorporated et al., Appellants v. Fairmont Insurance Company et al., Respondents Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B127096  
Div. 3  
S100739

People, Respondent  
v.  
Kendle Joel McGee, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B128157  
Div. 3  
S100233

Sierra Club et al., Appellants  
v.  
County of Los Angeles et al., Respondents  
Soka University of America, Real Party in Interest and Respondent  
Petition for review DENIED.  
The requests for an order directing publication of the opinion are  
DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B134277  
Div. 5  
S100733

People, Respondent  
v.  
Roderick Earl Forrest, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B137078  
Div. 4  
S100454

Michael R. Polin, Appellant  
v.  
Earl M. Benjamin et al., Respondents  
Petitions for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B137258  
Div. 3  
S100310

Jill Klajic et al., Petitioners and Appellants  
v.  
Castaic Lake Water Agency et al., Respondents  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B137789  
Div. 2  
S100654

In re the Marriage of Sunny E. L. Huang and Grace R.R. Wang  
-----  
Sunny E.L. Huang, Respondent  
v.  
Grace R.R. Wang, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B140328  
Div. 6  
S100863

People, Defendant and Appellant  
v.  
Aaron Hefflin, Defendant and Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.



2nd Dist.  
B140691  
Div. 3  
S100424

People, Respondent  
v.  
Stephen J. Williams, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B140793  
Div. 4  
S099919

People, Respondent  
v.  
Lorenzo V. Feal, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B140858  
Div. 6  
S100256

People, Appellant  
v.  
Tyree J. Dabney, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B141030  
Div. 1  
S100781

People, Respondent  
v.  
William Robert Riley, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B141533  
Div. 2  
S099554

People, Respondent  
v.  
Deborah Reed, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B141729  
Div. 7  
S100730

People, Respondent  
v.  
James Martin Aguilar, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B141998  
Div. 3  
S100704

People, Respondent  
v.  
John Misko, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist.  
B142170  
Div. 3  
S100219

People, Respondent  
v.  
Juan Ramon Dubon, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. People, Respondent  
B142676 v.  
B149889 Robert Jerome Walker, Appellant  
Div. 3 -----  
S100760 In re Robert Jerome Walker on Habeas Corpus  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. People, Respondent  
B142918 v.  
Div. 3 Gary Michael McGuire, Appellant  
S100703 Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. Donald Gelles, Respondent  
B143020 v.  
Div. 6 Anthony Mallon, Appellant  
S100959 Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. People, Respondent  
B143578 v.  
Div. 3 Kendrick Bailey, Appellant  
S100791 Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. William Zepeda, Appellant  
B143764 v.  
Div. 5 Los Angeles County Employees Retirement Association,  
S100846 Respondent  
Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. People, Respondent  
B143800 v.  
Div. 6 John Howard Hardacre, Appellant  
S100209 Petition for review DENIED.  
Brown, J., is of the opinion the petition should be granted.  
The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion is  
denied.

2nd Dist. People, Respondent  
B144132 v.  
Div. 5 Johnathan Osborne, Appellant  
S100860 Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B144270 Div. 5 S100772	People, Respondent v. Clyde B. Campbell, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B144507 Div. 4 B100773	People, Respondent v. Brook Michael Blankenship, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B144708 Div. 7 S100754	In re Jason L.F., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law ----- People, Respondent v. Jason L.F., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B144992 Div. 7 S100332	People, Respondent v. Aaron W. Batchelor, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B145978 Div. 5 S100849	People, Respondent v. Tony Lee Nichols, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B146009 Div. 6 S100259	In re Tyree J. Dabney on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B147295 Div. 4 S100742	People, Respondent v. Michael Raymond Hannah, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B147439 Div. 6 S100857	People, Respondent v. Randall James Greaves, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

2nd Dist. B148946 Div. 1 S099816	In re Michael Anthony Navarro on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
2nd Dist. B151136 Div. 3 S099836	In re Joel Wallach et al. on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C032561 S100539	Placer Ranch Partners, Plaintiffs and Appellants v. Michael H. County of Placer, Defendant and Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C034661 S100627	People, Appellant v. Robert Lee Hayes, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C035311 S100294	People, Respondent v. William Mase, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
3rd Dist. C038876 S100484	Mitchell Alfred Patterson, Petitioner v. Nevada County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D034533 Div. 1 S100694	James P. Wohl, Plaintiff and Appellant v. Flynn Sheridan & Tabb et al., Defendants and Appellants Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D035522 Div. 1 S100445	People, Respondent v. Adam Daniel Matwyuk, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. D035617 Div. 1 S100734	People, Respondent v. Ross Maier et al., Appellants Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D036696 Div. 1 S100763	People, Respondent v. William Clarke, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D038374 Div. 1 S099871	In re Philip Gilhousen on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. D038593 Div. 1 S100736	Thomas William Abney, Petitioner v. San Diego County Superior Court, Respondent People, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E027404 Div. 2 S100712	People, Respondent v. Antonio Gabriel Garcia, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E027502 Div. 2 S100882	People, Respondent v. Richard Glen Gillem, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E028721 Div. 2 S100783	People, Respondent v. Elizabeth Brown, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. E029990 Div. 2 S100744	People, Respondent v. Gerald Hanson, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

4th Dist. E030124 Div. 2 S100623	United Murrieta Neighborhood et al., Petitioners v. Riverside County Superior Court, Respondent United Murrieta Neighborhood et al., Real Parties in Interest
4th Dist. G024586 Div. 3 S100758	People, Respondent v. Robert Lefort, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G027981 Div. 3 S100544	In re Joseph S., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law ----- Orange County Social Services Agency, Plaintiff and Respondent v. Tammy and Jose S., Defendants and Appellants Petition for review DENIED.
4th Dist. G029679 Div. 3 S100939	Phuoc Van Phan, Petitioner v. Orange County Superior Court, Respondent Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F033068 S099981	People, Respondent v. Mark Vincent Tedeschi, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F034103 S100084	People, Respondent v. Robert Kent Walters et al., Appellants Petitions for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F034404 S100729	People, Respondent v. Anthony Adam Elizaldi, Appellant Petition for review DENIED. Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.
5th Dist. F034671 S100155	People, Respondent v. David Cooper, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. People, Respondent  
F034708 v.  
S100474 Lionel Falcon, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. People, Respondent  
F034828 v.  
S100726 Susan Lorraine Dye et al., Defendants and Appellants  
Petition for review DENIED.  
Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

5th Dist. People, Respondent  
F034919 v.  
S100738 Roosevelt Kenyata Edwards III, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. People, Respondent  
F034955 v.  
S100746 Albert Delatorre, Jr., Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.  
Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.

5th Dist. People, Respondent  
F035795 v.  
S100662 Jeffrey Gerard Coffelt, Defendant and Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. People, Respondent  
F035932 v.  
S100725 Ronald Dean Howard, Jr., Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. In re David R., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law  
F036086 -----  
S100741 People, Respondent  
v.  
David R, Appellant  
Petition for review DENIED.

5th Dist. F036496 S100688	People, Respondent v. Carey Keeton Smith Jr., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F036753 S100762	People, Respondent v. Antonio Alvarado Florez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F036990 S100780	People, Respondent v. Michael Simpson, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F038308 S100316	Jerry Davis, Petitioner v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board and California Compensation Insurance Company in Liquidation, California Insurance Guarantee Association (CIGA), Respondents Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F038388 S099660	In re Darrell Whipkey on Habeas Corpus Petition for review DENIED.
5th Dist. F038641 S100579	Charles Anthony Mello et al., Petitioners v. Fresno County Superior Court, Respondent Fresno Community Hospitals and Medical Center, Real Party in Interest Petition for review DENIED. Baxter, J., was recused and did not participate.
6th Dist. H020064 S099993	People, Respondent v. Michael Palacio et al., Appellants Petitions for review DENIED. Kennard, J., is of the opinion appellant Daniel Cedillo's petition should be granted.



6th Dist. H020930 S100624	In re Lucas B., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law ----- People, Respondent v. Lucas B., Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H021358 S100656	People, Respondent v. Robert Ervin Gamaza, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H021370 S100672	People, Respondent v. Lilia Monica Gonzalez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H021503 S100761	People, Respondent v. Rodrigo Vasquez Hernandez, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
6th Dist. H021966 S100373	People, Respondent v. Marc Bernard Rose, Appellant Petition for review DENIED.
1st Dist. A086726 Div. 3 S100162	Marc M. Andaya, Appellant v. City and County of San Francisco, Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.
2nd Dist. B137719 Div. 2 S099969	Community Redevelopment Agency of the City of Hawthorne, Plaintiff and Appellant v. Independent Cities Risk Management Authority, Defendant Defendant and Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

2nd Dist. B142129 B143537 Div. 7 S099278	Fox Searchlight Pictures, Inc., Plaintiff and Respondent v. Gia Paladino, Defendant and Appellant And Companion Case The request for an order directing depublication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied. Brown, J., was recused and did not participate.
2nd Dist. B143141 Div. 2 S100186	Graciela Lopez, Appellant v. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA, Defendant and Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.
2nd Dist. B145514 Div. 6 S100358	Mary Hazen, Appellant v. Robert Schreiber, Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.
4th Dist. D036830 Div. 1 S100618	G & G Fire Sprinklers, Incorporated, Plaintiff and Appellant v. City of Santa Ana, Defendant and Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.
4th Dist. D037006 Div. 1 S099850	Premium Commercial Services Corporation, Appellant v. First Financial Insurance Company, Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.
4th Dist. E028850 Div. 2 S100909	People, Appellant v. Michael Robert Chandler, Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.

4th Dist. G026942 Div. 3 S099854	Mark Walhimer, Plaintiff and Appellant v. Steven Gourley, as Director, etc., Defendant and Respondent The request for an order directing publication of the opinion in the above-entitled appeal is denied.
2nd Dist. B142728 Div. 7 S100426	David Cantor et al., Appellants v. Wasser, Rosenson & Carter et al., Respondents The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including November 29, 2001, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
4th Dist. D038094 Div. 1 S100536	In re Philip Gilhousen on Habeas Corpus The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including December 11, 2001, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
6th Dist. H022622 S100335	In re Walter Richard Jones on Habeas Corpus The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to and including December 3, 2001, or the date upon which review is either granted or denied.
S014200	People, Respondent v. Jon Scott Dunkle, Appellant On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief on the merits of the referee's finding on the reference question is extended to and including November 2, 2001.
S014664	People, Respondent v. Mario Lewis Gray, Appellant On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is

extended to and including November 21, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated absent a showing of substantial progress.

S108909 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Young, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including December 31, 2001.

S019697 People, Respondent

v.

Carman Lee Ward, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including December 3, 2001.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

S023835 People, Respondent

v.

Jesse Morrison, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including November 30, 2001.

No further extensions of time will be granted.

S026872 People, Respondent

v.

Alfredo Reyes Valdez, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including December 14, 2001.

S034110 People, Respondent

v.

Mark Christopher Crew, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including December 28, 2001.

- S040471      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
                 Milton Ray Pollock, Appellant  
                 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief is extended  
                 to and including December 17, 2001.
- S040527      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
                 Timothy Depriest, Appellant  
                 On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is  
                 extended to and including November 27, 2001.  
                 No further extensions of time will be granted.
- S058729      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
                 Michael Patrick Ihde, Appellant  
                 On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the appellant is granted to and including December 21,  
                 2001, to request correction of the record on appeal. Counsel for  
                 appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion  
                 on the Supreme Court upon its filing in the trial court.
- S090636      In re Steven D. Catlin  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus  
                 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal  
                 response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and  
                 including November 26, 2001.
- S091457      In re Richard Johnson  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus  
                 On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to informal  
                 response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and  
                 including November 7, 2001.

- S092757      In re Willie Branner aka James Willis Johnson  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus  
                 The application of respondent for extension of time to serve and  
                 file respondent's informal response to the petition for writ of habeas  
                 corpus is denied.
- S096349      People, Respondent  
                 v.  
                 Edward Charles Willis, Appellant  
                 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's reply brief on the  
                 merits is extended to and including November 26, 2001.  
                 No further extensions of time are contemplated.
- S096900      In re Ruben M. Ortiz  
                 on  
                 Habeas Corpus  
                 On application of respondent (Attorney General) and good cause  
                 appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal  
                 response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and  
                 including November 26, 2001.
- S097450      Jeffrey Hamberian, Petitioner  
                 v.  
                 Orange County Superior Court, Respondent  
                 People, Real Party in Interest  
                 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent reply briefs is  
                 extended to and including November 19, 2001.
- S097715      People, Plaintiff and Appellant  
                 v.  
                 Russell Hubert Statum, Defendant and Respondent  
                 On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is  
                 ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's answer brief on  
                 the merits is extended to and including November 27, 2001.  
                 No further extensions are contemplated.

- S098928      In re Leon Casey Alva  
                         on  
                         Habeas Corpus  
                         On application of respondent (People) and good cause appearing,  
                         it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the  
                         merits is extended to and including November 16, 2001.
- S099120      In re Walter S., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law  
                         -----  
                         People, Respondent  
                         v.  
                         Walter S., Appellant  
                         On application of respondent (People) and good cause appearing,  
                         it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's brief on the  
                         merits is extended to and including November 19, 2001.
- S100542      In re Bill Bradford  
                         on  
                         Habeas Corpus  
                         On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is  
                         ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal  
                         response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and  
                         including November 19, 2001.
- S100932      In re Barry Glenn Williams  
                         on  
                         Habeas Corpus  
                         On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is  
                         ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's informal  
                         response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and  
                         including November 28, 2001.
- S004720      People, Respondent  
                         v.  
                         Barry Glenn Williams, Appellant  
                         Good cause appearing, the separate applications of appointed  
                         lead and associate counsel for permission to withdraw as attorneys  
                         of record for condemned prisoner Barry Glenn Williams, filed  
                         October 9, 2001, are granted.  
                         The order appointing Joan W. Howarth as counsel of record for  
                         condemned prisoner Barry Glenn Williams, filed August 12, 1986, is

hereby vacated, and the order appointing Cathy R. Dreyfuss as associate counsel of record for condemned prisoner Barry Glenn Williams, filed April 14, 1994, is hereby vacated.

The Federal Public Defender for the Central District of California is hereby appointed attorney of record for condemned prisoner Barry Glenn Williams. Counsel is appointed for purposes of all postconviction proceedings in this court, and for subsequent proceedings, including the preparation and filing of a petition for clemency with the Governor of California, as appropriate.

S007210 People, Respondent

v.

William Michael Dennis, Appellant

Good cause appearing, the application of appointed lead and associate counsel for permission to withdraw as attorneys of record for condemned prisoner William Michael Dennis, filed September 28, 2001, is granted.

The order appointing Andrew Parnes as counsel of record for condemned prisoner William Michael Dennis, filed May 19, 1993, is hereby vacated, and the order appointing E. Evans Young as associate counsel of record for condemned prisoner William Michael Dennis, filed September 7, 1993, is hereby vacated.

Peter Giannini is hereby appointed attorney of record for condemned prisoner William Michael Dennis. Counsel is appointed for purposes of all postconviction proceedings in this court, and for subsequent proceedings, including the preparation and filing of a petition for clemency with the Governor of California, as appropriate.

2nd Dist. In re Ana Sabrina M. Children and Family Services

B152327 v.

Flor M.

The above-entitled matter, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, is transferred from Division Four to Division Two.

S099547 In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys  
For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on **August 17, 2001**, effective



**September 1, 2001**, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the names of **Amy Eileen Goodwin, State Bar No. 134911** and **Constance Frazier Mann, State Bar No. 165164**.

S099547      In the Matter of the Suspension of Attorneys  
For Nonpayment of Dues

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on August 17, 2001, effective September 1, 2001, be amended *nunc pro tunc* to strike the names of:

Jana Lynn Kluger, #202031  
Kristen T. Hoel, #164097  
Douglas Charles Smith, #160013  
John Ross Hayden, #66152

S099704      In re **Darick Wayne Holt** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Darick Wayne Holt, State Bar No. 117879**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for 18 months. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 31, 2001. Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on June 7, 2001. (*In re Young* (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270.) It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days,

respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2002, 2003 and 2004.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099708

In re **H. Craig Holoboski** on Discipline

It is ordered that **H. Craig Holoboski**, **State Bar No. 59516**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 60 days and until he makes restitution to Akbar Mehr (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$850.00 plus 10% interest per annum from December 1, 1998, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on May 21, 2001; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. He is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If **H. Craig Holoboski** is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If **H. Craig Holoboski** is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099807

In re **Timothy C. Bryson** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Timothy C. Bryson, State Bar No. 140798**, be suspended from the practice of law for 30 days, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 30, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S099808

In re **Daniel John Klier** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Daniel John Klier, State Bar No. 168679**, be suspended from the practice of law for four years and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 months and until he has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of respondent's rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 30, 2001. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Credit toward the period of actual suspension shall be given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on December 8, 1999 (In re Young (1989) 49 Cal.3d 257, 270). Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S099809

In re **Christopher Cogley** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Christopher Cogley, State Bar No. 79263**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 90 days and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on May 31, 2001. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7. \*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099813

In re **Robert J. Buscho** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Robert J. Buscho, State Bar No. 122556**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for 18 months on condition that he be actually suspended for 60 days and until he makes restitution to Emil Klimach in the amount of \$465.21 plus 10% interest per annum from October 10, 1995, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation, including restitution, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed May 30, 2001. If respondent is

actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, he is further ordered to comply with rule 955, California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-half of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002 and 2003. (Business & Professions Code section 6086.10.)

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S099814 In re **Wendy Beth Tabb** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Wendy Beth Tabb, State Bar No. 175578**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for one year on condition that she be actually suspended for 30 days. She is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed May 1, 2001, as modified by its order filed July 16, 2001. Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003 and 2004. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

S100111 In re **Jeffrey Scott Peters** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Jeffrey Scott Peters, State Bar No. 150738**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including six months

actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on May 31, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.  
\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S100168

In re **William Reamy Kennon** on Discipline

It is ordered that **William Reamy Kennon, State Bar No. 57481**, be suspended from the practice of law for two years and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for nine months and until he makes restitution to Gene Perteet and Sabina Carlos (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$685.00 and \$5,000.00, respectively, plus 10% interest per annum from April 1, 1999 and December 1, 1999, respectively, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel. If **William Reamy Kennon** is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. He is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 18, 2001, as modified by its order filed June 28, 2001. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of

his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.\* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one-fifth of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)

\*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

Misc.  
2001-2

#### Appointment of State Bar Court Judges

Pursuant to section 6079.1 of the Business and Professions Code, and Rule 961 of the California Rules of Court, the Supreme Court hereby makes the following appointments to the State Bar Court from a list submitted by the Applicant Evaluation and Nomination Committee:

The Honorable Ronald W. Stovitz is hereby appointed as Presiding Judge of the State Bar Court Review Department for a term to commence November 1, 2001, to November 1, 2006.

Patrice E. McElroy is hereby appointed as a State Bar Court Hearing Judge in San Francisco for a term to commence on November 1, 2001, to November 1, 2006.

Stanford E. Reichert is hereby appointed as a State Bar Court Hearing Judge in Los Angeles for a term to commence on November 1, 2001, to November 1, 2004.

Further, the terms of the incumbent judges of the State Bar Court Hearing Department that would otherwise end on October 31, 2001, are extended until such time as the appropriate successor takes the oath of office or until the incumbent resigns, whichever occurs first.

Presiding Judge James Obrien is named as an interim Review Department Judge to serve until a candidate appointed by the Supreme Court to fill the position vacated by the elevation of Judge Stovitz to Presiding Judge takes the oath of office, or until Judge Obrien resigns as an interim Judge, whichever occurs first.